



INDONESIA UPDATE:

Positive Developments in Singapore- Indonesia Cross-Border Restructurings

Jointly prepared by WongPartnership LLP
and Lubis Santosa & Maramis

Positive Developments in Singapore-Indonesia Cross-Border Restructurings

Singapore as a regional restructuring hub

Singapore has grown into one of the leading jurisdictions for international debt restructuring. This has developed synergistically with its position as an international financial centre and preferred venue of international dispute resolution, particularly with the Singapore International Arbitration Centre, the Singapore International Commercial Court, and the Singapore International Mediation Centre. The recent reforms to Singapore's insolvency and restructuring framework have allowed Singapore to compete with other leading global restructuring and insolvency jurisdictions such as the U.S., the U.K. and Hong Kong.

The most notable reforms were premised on key features of Chapter 11 of the U.S. Bankruptcy Code, with amendments being made to the Companies Act in 2017, and subsequently the enactment of the Insolvency, Restructuring and Dissolution Act ("**IRDA**"), which consolidated Singapore's bankruptcy, corporate insolvency, and debt restructuring laws into a single piece of legislation.¹ These include super-priority rescue financing, the cross-class "cram-down" mechanism (which allows the court to sanction a scheme even if the approval threshold for one or more of the classes of creditors is not met), and "pre-packaged" restructuring plans (dispensing with the need for a formal creditors' meeting to vote on a restructuring plan if it is clear that the required thresholds of creditor support have been reached). Overall, these reforms have enabled Singapore to give parties more tools to effect a tailor-made restructuring. For further details on the recent developments on these reforms, you may wish to view our previous updates [here](#).

Singapore also became a signatory to the UNCITRAL Model Law on Cross-Border Insolvency ("**Model Law**") in 2017, with the Model Law *via* Part 11 of IRDA now being in force in Singapore. This allows Singapore restructuring insolvency decisions and moratoriums to be more easily recognisable in other signatory jurisdictions, and *vice versa*. For instance, in the landmark case of *H&C S Holdings Pte Ltd v Glencore International AG* [2019] EWHC 1459 (Ch), the English courts, on the basis of the Model Law, recognised a Singapore court moratorium order pursuant to restructuring proceedings which a Singapore company was undergoing.

Strong ties between Singapore and Indonesia

Singapore and Indonesia have always had strong commercial ties, with Singapore being the top investor in Indonesia since 2014.² In the past year, despite the difficulties in the business climate and travel because of the COVID-19 pandemic, the ties between the two countries have grown even stronger. In 2020, total investments in Indonesia from Singapore went up by 30% and amounted to US\$9.8 billion,

¹ *Insolvency, Restructuring and Dissolution Act – Key Changes from the Financiers' Perspective*, 22 February 2021, <https://www.wongpartnership.com/insights/detail/insolvency-restructuring-and-dissolution-act-key-changes-from-the-financiers-perspective>

² *Remarks by President Halimah at Reception with Singapore and Indonesian Business Representatives*, 4 February 2020, <https://www.istana.gov.sg/Newsroom/Speeches/2020/02/04/Remarks-by-President-Halimah-at-Reception-with-Singapore-and-Indonesian-Business-Representatives>

across 15,088 projects.³ Singapore is also Indonesia's third largest trading partner, and Indonesia is Singapore's sixth largest trading partner.⁴ In 2020, bilateral trade between the two countries amounted to S\$48.7 billion.⁵ Indonesia is the fourth largest nation in the world with an estimated population of 270 million; it is also the largest economy in Southeast Asia with a gross domestic product of US\$1.06 trillion in 2020.⁶ For the above reasons, and with Indonesia being one of Singapore's closest neighbours geographically, Singapore and Indonesia have always had close political ties.

For the most part, in its push to become a leading regional and global restructuring hub, Singapore is very receptive to foreign companies restructuring their debts in Singapore.⁷ The IRDA allows unregistered foreign companies to be wound up if they have a "substantial connection" to Singapore.⁸ Recent case law has shown that the Singapore courts are willing to adopt a flexible test to determine whether a foreign company has a "*substantial connection*" with Singapore. For example, in *Re PT MNC Investama TBK* [2020] SGHC 149, the Singapore High Court held that PT MNC Investama Tbk, an Indonesian company, had a substantial connection with Singapore because its securities were traded on the Singapore Exchange.

On Indonesia's part, the courts have, for many years, generally been slow in recognising foreign judgments and have emphasised the principle of national sovereignty in refusing recognition of foreign judgments and arbitral awards. The seminal case is *Astro v. PT. Ayunda Primamitra* (Supreme Court Judgement No. 01 K/Pdt.Sus/2010), where the Indonesian Supreme Court rejected enforcement of a foreign arbitral award by Astro on the basis that the award violated Indonesian public policy with regards to sovereignty principle. The arbitral award contained an anti-suit injunction preventing PT Ayunda Primamitra from continuing with a parallel court proceeding that it had commenced in the Indonesian courts. Further, in the area of restructuring and insolvency, Indonesia is not a signatory to the Model Law and does not have provisions in its restructuring and bankruptcy legislation allowing for cross-border recognition of foreign restructuring and insolvency proceedings.

The *Pan Brothers* case

However, in a recent development, judicial attitudes in Indonesia appear to be changing. In *PT Bank Maybank Indonesia Tbk v PT Pan Brothers Tbk* (Judgment No. 245/Pdt.Sus-PKPU/2021/PN.Niaga.Jkt.Pst) ("**Pan Brothers case**"), the Central Jakarta Commercial Court

³ *Singapore investments in Indonesia up 30%*, 17 August 2021, <https://www.businesstimes.com.sg/hub/indonesia-76th-independence-day/singapore-investments-in-indonesia-up-30>

⁴ *Remarks by President Halimah at Reception with Singapore and Indonesian Business Representatives*, 4 February 2020, <https://www.istana.gov.sg/Newsroom/Speeches/2020/02/04/Remarks-by-President-Halimah-at-Reception-with-Singapore-and-Indonesian-Business-Representatives>

⁵ *Singapore investments in Indonesia up 30%*, 17 August 2021, <https://www.businesstimes.com.sg/hub/indonesia-76th-independence-day/singapore-investments-in-indonesia-up-30>

⁶ Based on data from World Bank, see <https://tradingeconomics.com/indonesia/gdp>

⁷ Singapore's openness towards recognition of foreign restructuring proceedings is not uncaveated though, with the recent case of *Paulus Tannos v Heince Tombak Simanjuntak* [2020] SGCA 85 demonstrating that Singapore courts will refuse recognition of Indonesian court judgments in certain circumstances, such as where there has been a breach of natural justice. In this case, the Singapore Court of Appeal held that notices of the Indonesian Suspension of Debt Payment Obligation ("**PKPU**") court proceedings against the Appellants had not been properly served on the Appellants, and therefore the Indonesian bankruptcy orders which were ordered as a result of the Appellants' failure to agree on a successful composition plan with its creditors in the PKPU proceedings, had been obtained in breach of natural justice.

⁸ See section 246 of the Insolvency, Restructuring and Dissolution Act.

(“**Commercial Court**”) rejected an Indonesian Suspension of Debt Payment Obligation (“**PKPU**”) petition filed by PT Bank Maybank Indonesia (“**Maybank**”) against PT Pan Brothers Tbk (“**Pan Brothers**”) largely because of a moratorium granted by the Singapore High Court in restructuring proceedings commenced by Pan Brothers in Singapore. The PKPU process is Indonesia’s in-court restructuring process that allows debtors to suspend payments of debt obligations to its creditors pending the proposal of a rehabilitation plan for the debtor.

On 24 May 2021, Maybank filed the PKPU petition against Pan Brothers in Indonesia, which was scheduled for hearing on 8 June 2021.⁹ On 1 June 2021, Pan Brothers filed an application to the Singapore High Court for a worldwide moratorium preventing the commencement or continuation of claims by creditors against Pan Brothers, pending the proposal of a scheme of arrangement (*ie.* an in-court restructuring plan) to Pan Brothers’ creditors. On 4 June 2021, the Singapore High Court granted Pan Brothers’ application for the moratorium.¹⁰

On 26 July 2021, the Commercial Court rejected Maybank’s PKPU petition,¹¹ finding that Maybank did not have the capacity and legal standing to file the PKPU petition, and that the Commercial Court therefore did not have the authority to hear and adjudicate the PKPU petition.

The Commercial Court relied on three main reasons in reaching its decision.

First, it accepted Pan Brothers’ argument that since it had already successfully obtained the Singapore High Court moratorium, Maybank’s PKPU petition could not be granted in order to avoid any overlapping of restructuring proceedings between Pan Brothers and Maybank. This reasoning is very welcome, since it appears to signify the Indonesia courts’ openness to recognising Singapore restructuring proceedings in Indonesia.

Second, the Commercial Court also accepted that the Singapore High Court moratorium was binding on Maybank as the facility agreement between Pan Brothers and Maybank allowed for Pan Brothers to file legal claims both in and out of Indonesia.

Third, because of the Singapore High Court moratorium, the PKPU petition did not satisfy one of the requirements under Indonesian law – that the facts or circumstances of the case are proven to be simple. Generally, the Indonesian courts have taken the position that this requirement is satisfied as long as there is a debt that is due and payable. In the present case, the Commercial Court found that the Singapore High Court moratorium and the issue of potential overlapping restructuring proceedings meant the process of debt settlement between the parties was no longer simple, and hence did not satisfy that requirement under Indonesian law.

⁹ *PB International B.V. Announcement – Application for Moratorium*, 4 June 2021, <https://links.sgx.com/1.0.0/corporate-announcements/FF8ZO30SEMENB1G5/2899b04e3ef6045a072158d77f623b36d3e3ded2efe51a2e9039ea09ee1e8d81>

¹⁰ *Indonesian Court recognises Singaporean moratorium*, 27 July 2021, <https://globalrestructuringreview.com/financial-restructuring/indonesian-court-recognises-singaporean-moratorium>

¹¹ PT Pan Brothers Press Release dated 27 July 2021, https://www.panbrotherstbk.com/public/uploads/news-events/pdfen_1627367805-PBRX-Press-Release-Updated-PKPU.pdf

While the Commercial Court in the *Pan Brothers* case did not expressly recognise the Singapore High Court moratorium and restructuring proceedings in Singapore in Indonesia, its decision to reject Maybank's PKPU petition because of the existence of the Singapore restructuring proceedings, and the potential for conflicting overlapping proceedings in Singapore and Indonesia, is a significant positive development for Indonesian debtors intending to seek restructuring in Singapore.

What the future holds

The reforms to the Singapore insolvency and restructuring framework have already seen Indonesian companies coming to the Singapore courts.

In January 2021, PT MNC Investama Tbk obtained the approval of noteholders and the Singapore High Court to pass a pre-packaged scheme of arrangement in relation to US\$231 million of secured notes.¹²

In April 2021, PT Sri Rejeki Isman Tbk filed applications to the Singapore High Court for its Singapore subsidiaries to propose scheme of arrangements.¹³ This was done in response to the PKPU proceedings commenced earlier against its Indonesian subsidiaries. In July 2021, the U.S. Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York recognised both the Indonesian and the Singapore proceedings.¹⁴

In August 2021, PT Modernland Realty Tbk obtained approval from the Singapore High Court for a pair of pre-packaged schemes of arrangement to restructure its debts of over US\$400 million.¹⁵ PT Modernland Realty Tbk is also seeking recognition of these schemes of arrangement in the U.S. Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York and the hearing is scheduled for 14 October 2021.¹⁶

The *Pan Brothers* case is significant because, should the Indonesian courts continue to adopt the same approach in future cases, it can provide Indonesian debtors greater certainty towards management of a restructuring process, especially if they should choose restructuring in Singapore as a first port of call. In the past, an Indonesian debtor that commenced restructuring proceedings in Singapore was likely to have to deal with PKPU proceedings brought by its creditors in Indonesia. In consequence, to ensure control of the Indonesian PKPU proceedings, debtors would strategically and pre-emptively file for PKPU proceedings in Indonesia first, and try to file for parallel proceedings in Singapore or the U.S. subsequently. This however, leads to increased costs and time required to successfully implement a restructuring across multiple jurisdictions.

¹² *Singapore schemes sanctioned in new first for Asiatravel.com and MNC*, 1 February 2021, <https://globalrestructuringreview.com/scheme-of-arrangement/singapore-schemes-sanctioned-in-new-firsts-asiatravelcom-and-mnc>

¹³ *Gibson Dunn advising as Indonesian clothing manufacturer seeks US recognition*, 8 June 2021, <https://globalrestructuringreview.com/recognition/gibson-dunn-advising-indonesian-clothing-manufacturer-seeks-us-recognition>

¹⁴ *Indonesian Court recognises Singaporean moratorium*, 27 July 2021, <https://globalrestructuringreview.com/financial-restructuring/indonesian-court-recognises-singaporean-moratorium>

¹⁵ *Modernland pre-pack schemes sanctioned in Singapore*, 31 August 2021, <https://globalrestructuringreview.com/scheme-of-arrangement/modernland-pre-pack-schemes-sanctioned-in-singapore>

¹⁶ *Modernland seeks US recognition to cement Singaporean schemes*, 28 September 2021, <https://globalrestructuringreview.com/adversary-claim/modernland-seeks-us-recognition-cement-singaporean-schemes>

Meanwhile, the *Pan Brothers* case appears to be a first step in the right direction, and provides debtors and creditors alike increased confidence that kickstarting restructuring proceedings in Singapore to take advantage of recent reforms has a higher chance than before of not being impeded by Indonesia PKPU petitions filed by creditors.

If you would like information or assistance on the above, you may wish to contact the Partner at WongPartnership or Lubis Santosa & Maramis whom you normally deal with or the following:



Manoj SANDRASEGARA

Partner

d: +65 6416 8106

e: manoj.sandra@wongpartnership.com

[@wongpartnership.com](https://www.wongpartnership.com)

Click [here](#) to view Manoj's CV.



Rando PURBA

Partner

d: +62.856.1085.470

e: rando.purba@lsmlaw.co.id

Click [here](#) to view Rando's CV.



Lawrence FOO

Partner

d: +65 6416 2467

e: lawrence.foo@wongpartnership.com

[@wongpartnership.com](https://www.wongpartnership.com)

Click [here](#) to view Lawrence's CV.



Gerald Saratoga SARAYAR

Associate

d: +62.812.9464.3934

e: gerald@lsmlaw.co.id

Click [here](#) to view Gerald's CV.

 Connect with WongPartnership.

About Lubis Santosa & Maramis (LSM)

LSM is a leading law firm in Indonesia established in 1986. The firm's comprehensive range of practice includes Corporate and Commercial Dispute Resolution, Restructuring and Insolvency, and Intellectual Property. LSM provides assistance to a wide range of clients throughout Indonesia including individuals, corporations, major governmental and private financial institutions and large, medium and small businesses. LSM is the Indonesian strategic alliance partner of Makes & Partners, a member of WPG, a regional law network.

WPG MEMBERS AND OFFICES

- contactus@wongpartnership.com

SINGAPORE

-
WongPartnership LLP
12 Marina Boulevard Level 28
Marina Bay Financial Centre Tower 3
Singapore 018982
t +65 6416 8000
f +65 6532 5711/5722

CHINA

-
WongPartnership LLP
Shanghai Representative Office
Unit 1015 Link Square 1
222 Hubin Road
Shanghai 200021, PRC
t +86 21 6340 3131
f +86 21 6340 3315

MYANMAR

-
WongPartnership Myanmar Ltd.
Junction City Tower, #09-03
Bogyoke Aung San Road
Pabedan Township, Yangon
Myanmar
t +95 1 925 3737
f +95 1 925 3742

INDONESIA

-
Makes & Partners Law Firm
Menara Batavia, 7th Floor
Jl. KH. Mas Mansyur Kav. 126
Jakarta 10220, Indonesia
t +62 21 574 7181
f +62 21 574 7180
w makeslaw.com

wongpartnership.com

MALAYSIA

-
Foong & Partners
Advocates & Solicitors
13-1, Menara 1MK, Kompleks 1 Mont' Kiara
No 1 Jalan Kiara, Mont' Kiara
50480 Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia
t +60 3 6419 0822
f +60 3 6419 0823
w foongpartners.com

MIDDLE EAST

-
Al Aidarous Advocates and Legal Consultants
Abdullah Al Mulla Building, Mezzanine Suite 02
39 Hameem Street (side street of Al Murroor Street)
Al Nahyan Camp Area
P.O. Box No. 71284
Abu Dhabi, UAE
t +971 2 6439 222
f +971 2 6349 229
w aidarous.com
-
Al Aidarous Advocates and Legal Consultants
Zalfa Building, Suite 101 - 102
Sh. Rashid Road
Garhoud
P.O. Box No. 33299
Dubai, UAE
t +971 4 2828 000
f +971 4 2828 011

PHILIPPINES

-
ZGLaw
27/F 88 Corporate Center
141 Sedeño Street, Salcedo Village
Makati City 1227, Philippines
t +63 2 889 6060
f +63 2 889 6066
w zglaw.com/~zglaw

